



NASA – FEMA Statement on the 3rd Joint Asteroid Impact Tabletop Exercise

Pursuant to direction from the U.S. Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) directing the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to lead and coordinate the detection and hazard estimation of near-Earth asteroids and comets and to coordinate with other federal agencies in developing mitigation or response plans, NASA collaborated with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) on this joint exercise.

Upon notification from NASA of an impending asteroid impact threat to United States territory, FEMA is responsible for notifying appropriate Federal, state and local authorities, and emergency response institutions, utilizing existing resources and mechanisms. This is analogous to procedures for a large piece of space debris re-entering Earth's atmosphere or hurricane warning procedures.

The third FEMA-NASA Near-Earth Object Impact Tabletop Exercise (TTX#3) was held at The Aerospace Corporation on October 25, 2016. The first¹ and second² were held at the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Headquarters on April 3, 2013 and May 2, 2014, respectively. The purpose of each exercise was to acquaint disaster response planners with the nature and evolution of information available for, and inherent challenges of, a potential asteroid impact emergency.

TTX#3 was based on a postulated threat that could be posed by a modest-sized asteroid discovered four years prior to possible impact. The exercise development team, consisting of experts in asteroid orbital dynamics and impact effects, presented representative NASA-collected data and analysis of impact potential in a series of example press releases to the participants. These were presented at intervals during the exercise, as progression of the threat evolved with the probability of impact determined to be increasing. Exercise participants, who represented national, state, and local disaster responders, were invited to consider disaster mitigation and response activities at each update. The exercise featured long-periods of time in which the object was not observable, due to its position in space relative to the Sun and Earth, a circumstance that was especially problematic for emergency planners. For this exercise, the 100-300 meter object presented a certainty of impact, with the uncertainty being whether it would impact land or the ocean (and potentially causing a tsunami). The attached report provides details on the exercise scenario, reactions of the exercise participants, and summarizes key points of discussion and recommendations from that exercise.

https://doctorlinda.files.wordpress.com/2014/02/final-report-neo-impact-ttx-3-81913.pdf

² "Summary Report on Ttx#2: Tabletop Exercise for Asteroid Impact Event," Report prepared for NASA HQ Science Mission Directorate, Planetary Science Division NEO Observations Program, September 2014.

One outcome of the exercise was the understanding that effective government response to this hazard would require close interagency communication and collaboration between NASA and FEMA, as well as other government agencies. NASA and FEMA will present the results of this exercise to the Planetary Impact Emergency Response Working Group (PIERWG) to continue to develop situational awareness among the member agencies. Furthermore, the OSTP-NASA convened Interagency Working Group for Detecting and Mitigating the Impact of Earth-bound near-Earth asteroids (DAMIEN) will address notification processes and decision thresholds as recommended in the *National Near-Earth Objects Preparedness Strategy.*³

Based on the results of this exercise, we believe that while solid progress has been achieved, further work is to be done in the areas of detection, orbit characterization, international coordination, and communication with the public.

Concurrence:

Dennis J. Andrucyk Deputy Associate Administrator Science Mission Directorate NASA

Damon Penn Assistant Administrator Response Directorate FEMA

³ National Science and Technology Council, 2016. <u>https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/NSTC/nationalneopreparedness_strategy_final.pdf</u>.

Summary Report 3rd FEMA-NASA Near Earth Object Impact Tabletop Exercise (TTX#3) October 25, 2016

Executive Summary

The third FEMA-NASA Near-Earth Object Impact Tabletop Exercise (TTX#3) was held at The Aerospace Corporation on October 25, 2016. The first¹ and second² were held at the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Headquarters on April 3, 2013 and May 2, 2014, respectively. The purpose of each exercise was to acquaint disaster response planners with the nature and evolution of information available for, and inherent challenges of, a potential asteroid impac emergency.

TTX#3 was based on the threat that could be posed by a fictitious, modest-sized asteroid discovered four years prior to possible impact. The exercise development team presented representative NASA-collected data and analysis of impact potential in a series of example press releases to the participants; these were presented at intervals during the exercise, as progression of the threat evolved with the probability of impac determined to be increasing. The last updates showed that the hypothetical impac would occur near North Los Angeles, California, in the Pasadena area. Exercise participants, who represented national, State of California, and local disaster responders, were invited to consider disaster mitigation and response activities at each update. This document provides details on the exercise for TTX#3 and summarizes key points of discussion and recommendations from that exercise.

¹ "Tabletop Exercise for Short Warning Near Earth Object Impact Event," Summary Report for NASA HQ SMD Planetary Sciences Division NEO Program Office, April 29, 2013. https://doctorlinda.files.wordpress.com/2014/02/final-report-neo-impact-ttx-3-81913.pdf

² "Summary Report on Ttx#2: Tabletop Exercise for Asteroid Impact Event," Report prepared for NASA HQ Science Mission Directorate, Planetary Science Division NEO Observations Program, September 2014.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	
Table of Contents	ii
1. Introduction	1
2. Pre-Exercise Directions	2
3. Exercise	3
3.1 First Inject	4
3.1.1 Threat Description	4
3.1.2 Participant Discussion	7
3.2 Second Inject	8
3.3 Third Inject	9
3.3.1 Threat Description	9
3.3.2 Participant Discussions	12
3.4 Fourth Inject	13
3.5 Final Impact Estimat	15
4. Post-Exercise Feedback	16
4.1 Comments Regarding This Exercis	
4.2 General Comments	17
4.3 Informing the Publi	17
4.4 Future Exercises	
5. Recommendation	
APPENDIX A. Organizers and Attendee	20
Organizers	20
Attendee	20
APPENDIX B. Press Releases	21
APPENDIX C. Presentation Charts	29
APPENDIX D: FEMA-NASA Public Affairs Follow-Up Exercise	

1. Introduction

NASA's Planetary Defense Officer presented an overview of the Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO)³ and the latest information on the status of activities to detect potentially hazardous asteroids (asteroids that might one day impact Earth) including worldwide activities related to Near-Earth Objects (NEOs - natural objects such as asteroids and comets that have orbits that will bring them within 30 million miles of Earth's orbit). Figure 1 shows that the number of known Near-Earth Asteroids (NEAs) continues to increase as more sophisticated observation resources are brought online. As of the date of TTX#3, 15,008 asteroids were known NEOs, with 1740 asteroids rated as potentially hazardous (in orbits that pass within 5 million miles of Earth's orbit); 106 Earth-approaching comets have also been discovered, but none are classified as hazardous.



Figure 1. Known population of Near Earth Asteroids.

The sharp increase in the number of known NEOs after 1998 is a result of Congressional direction that NASA detect at least 90% of the objects 1-km and larger that could threaten Earth, and that requirement was met in 2010. An impact of an object in this size range could lead to a worldwide disaster; however smaller objects are also capabl of causing serious local or regional disasters. The airblast from the 1908 Tunguska event, estimated to have been caused by the entry of an object of only 30 to 50-meters in size, leveled a forested area covering over 2000 km² (770 square miles) while the

³ see <u>https://www.nasa.gov/planetarydefense/overview</u>

February 15, 2013, Chelyabinsk event caused by entry of a 17 to 20-meter sized object caused over 1600 injuries and damage totaling over \$33 Million.

Figure 2 shows a record of atmospheric events due to entries of small asteroids that occurred from 1994 to 2013. Note that the record includes the Chelyabinsk event, but that these types of events, generally involving objects somewhat smaller than the Chelyabinsk object, are not at all uncommon.



Figure 2. This diagram maps the data gathered from 1994-2013 on small asteroids impacting Earth's atmosphere to create very bright meteors, technically called "bolides" and commonly referred to as "fireballs." Sizes of red dots (daytime impacts) and blue dots (nighttime impacts) are proportional to the optical radiated energy of impact measured in billions of Joules (GJ) of energy, and show the location of impacts from objects about 1 meter (3 feet) to almost 20 meters (60 feet) in size. (Image courtes NASA)

2. Pre-Exercise Directions

The overall goal of exercise was to inform officials concerned with emergency response on the unique and challenging aspects of an asteroid impact and determine whether, and how, existing Federal Interagency Operational Plans (FIOPs, which describe coordinated federal response to a wide variety of contingencies) and annexes might apply to this type of disaster. The initial assumption is that consequences of any NEO impact event would mirror the consequences of a major earthquake, tsunami, or hurricane (perhaps occurring all together), and that the FIOP developed for an Improvised Nuclear Device (IND) might also be used as a starting place. FEMA, which has regulatory responsibility for disaster response planning, would use the most applicable set of plans based on information received from the planetary science community to guide the overall federal response. This would be particularly true in a no-notice scenario.

Questions to be considered by participants were:

- 1. Where is the impact likely to occur? How do we ensure and evaluate the accuracy of the prediction?
- 2. How would we effectively communicate with our stakeholders, including local officials, the general public, and the media, about the predicted impact?
- 3. How would we best position ourselves as the credible source(s) for information?
- 4. What is our process for notifying and working with federal, state, and local partners?
- 5. How would we share information and work with our international partners?

Questions posed to State and federal officials—the State Emergency Managemen Operations Director and FEMA Federal Coordinating Officer (FCO):

- 1. How would someone in your position/role approach this scenario?
- 2. Do you anticipate requesting federal assistance as soon as an impact in the United States is predicted?
 - a. Would Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC) support likely be sufficient?
 - b. Would you activate your National Guard units? If so, when? For what purpose?
 - c. Would you recommend to the Governor to request either an Emergency Declaration or a full Major Disaster Declaration under the Stafford Act⁴?
- 3. What additional information from the science community could help you make initial decisions?
- 4. Initial warnings indicate that there are two possibilities for impact: one over land (impact or airburst) and one at sea off the coast (tsunami possible). How is your planning process affected by the uncertainty of the impact location?

3. Exercise

The exercise was designed to represent a realistic threat and to proceed with information available on a timeline as such a real threat might progress. While the

⁴ A United States federal law designed to bring an orderly and systemic means of federal natural disaster assistance for state and local governments in carrying out their responsibilities to aid citizens. Congress' intention was to encourage states and localities to develop comprehensive disaster preparedness plans, prepare for better intergovernmental coordination in the face of a disaster, encourage the use of insurance coverage, and provide federal assistance programs for losses due to a disaster. (source: Wikipedia)

threat is realistic, it was emphasized that it might not be fully representative of an actual event in that:

- The warning time could be much longer or shorter, or that an impact could occur with no warning, given our current detection capabilities,
- The threatening object could be larger or smaller than that used for the exercise,
- The warning time for a larger object might be longer than that portrayed here,
- The warning time for a smaller object might be shorter or there might be no warning (e.g., Chelyabinsk, Tunguska), and
- Consequences of an impact could be less for an object this size than those presented by the exercise (e.g., an ocean impact far away from land might create only a relatively small tsunami).

Information on the threat was presented via status briefings and press releases as it might be presented for a real threat event, and presenters updated predictions on possible consequences consistent with information on the threat available at the time (note that press releases and related information would likely be made available whenever new observations allow, likely much more frequently than presented here). The information presented in the First Inject below was included in the read-ahead packet distributed to attendees. The press releases and the full set of charts presented to participants during the exercise are provided in Appendix B and C, respectively.

There was considerable discussion by exercise participants after presentation of details behind the first press release (First Inject, Section 3.1) and the third Press Release (Third Inject, Section 3.3). Notes collected during these discussions are presented immediately after the details of what is known about the threat and possible consequences of impact were presented. In addition, a "hot wash" was conducted on the day following the exercise to collect additional comments and recommendations. This information is collected in Section 4.

3.1 First Inject

3.1.1 Threat Description

As noted, a potentially threatening asteroid designated 2016 TTX was discovered on October 1, 2016, and observers worldwide confirmed the discovery. Predictions made shortly after discovery revealed that the asteroid was a potentially hazardous asteroid and would come close to Earth, with a small chance of impact on September 20, 2020. The size of the object, based on the albedo (light reflected by the body), was estimated to be between 100 to 300 meters. As more observations were collected and the orbit refined, by October 13 the probability of impact rose to 1%, and increased again to 2% on October 26.

Figure 3 shows where Earth would be in its orbit on September 2020, with the area highlighted by the red dots the possible locations of the asteroid that day based on propagation of the uncertainty in the tracking data on October 24, 2016. Figure 4 shows the uncertainty region as the asteroid approaches Earth in 2020; the length of the uncertainty region is about 2 million km, but the size would shrink as additional tracking data becomes available. If 2016 TTX were to impact Earth in 2020, it would strike only at a single point along the line created by the red dots as they cross Earth.



Figure 3. Uncertainty Region and Risk Corridor it forms shortly after discovery. Each red dot represents a possible asteroid position which then forms a continuous line connecting the dots. The approaching asteroid could be at any point on this line when it reaches Earth on September 20, 2020.

Based on this information, NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO) published a so-called "risk corridor" – a long narrow region across the Earth where impact might occur. The path of possible impact points extends halfway around the globe, stretching from the Pacific Ocean, diagonally across the continental United States from southern California to New Jersey (see Figure 5). It then continues across the Atlantic Ocean and on to Africa.



Figure 4. Sequence showing the uncertainty in the location of the asteroid as it would approach Earth based on initial observation data. Based on this data, the asteroid could be at any point within the region of space defined by these points.



Figure 5. The red line indicates where the uncertainty intersects with Earth. Actual impact, were it to occur, could be at any point on the red line. Note that it extends around more than half of the globe.

At this point, four years to impact, the probability of impact would trigger a meeting of the UN-endorsed Space Mission Planning Advisory Group (SMPAG)⁵, where representatives of the world's national space agencies begin considerations of possible missions to launch - first to fly by the object to get a better estimate of its size and improve orbit predictions, and then a campaign to deflect or disrupt the oncomin object. The possibility of impact would also trigger a notification to international disaster response agencies.

3.1.2 Participant Discussion

Th disaster responders' comments and questions given the level of risk and preliminar data available four years before possible impact included the following:

- Th initial predicted risk corridor makes this event a global issue.
- How would the United States be involved if an impact were predicted to occur outside of US territory?
- The amount of advance notice for the impact makes this scenario unique from other natural disasters. What emergency response and recovery actions would be appropriate to take in the four years before impact in this scenario?
- There would likely be pressure from our stakeholders to have a detailed incidentspecific strategy.
- Would the Stafford Act be sufficient for an advance-warning impact event? Would new legislation be required for advance response efforts?
- The NEO impact hazard will soon be added to the Strategic National Risk Assessment (SNRA). (Accomplished with release of National NEO Preparedness Strategy on 30 December, 2016.)
- How would federal support to mass evacuations be adapted to this scenario?
- Planning efforts should account for the estimated worst-case scenario.
- With so much advance warning in this scenario, we would be able to plan for both incident response and recovery.
- An asteroid hazard playbook should be developed, similar to already known practices with other natural disasters.
- The Deflecting and Mitigating the Impact of Earth-bound Near-Earth Objects (DAMIEN)⁶ national strategy (in development) will help define roles in the federal response to a predicted asteroid impact,
- Conspiracy theories and the spread of inaccurate information would likely occur during the periods of the scenario when the asteroid is unobservable.
- A systematic requirements review, including budget, metrics, and manpower, will likely be required for asteroid characterization and deflection missions.

⁵ IAWN/SMPAG Report, <u>http://www.unoosa.org/pdf/pres/stsc2015/tech-12E.pdf</u>

⁶ Johnson, Lindley, "Planetary Defense Coordination Office Update, Slide 32, " June 30, 2016. http://www.lpi.usra.edu/sbag/meetings/jun2016/presentations/johnson-neo.pdf

• The nuclear deflection method would require the involvement of the Department of Energy and possibly the Department of Defense.

3. Second Inject

As the exercise scenario progresses, on January 10, 2017, approximately three months after discovery, NASA provides a second press release (see Appendix B). Based on new measurement data, the probability of impact on September 20, 2020 has risen to 65%. The press release notes that the object will now go behind the sun for several months and will not be observable from Earth. Updates on the threat will not be possible until the object emerges on the other side. The risk corridor remains the same as that shown in Figure 5; an expanded view of the risk corridor as it crosses the United States is shown in Figure 6.

The size of the object is now estimated to be between 100 and 250 meters, and observations to determine composition indicate that the asteroid is a stony, "S-class" object, probably with some metal content.

It has also been announced that SMPAG would start to plan possible deflection mission activities based on the International Asteroid Warning Network (IAWN)⁷ assessment exceeding the established threshold of a 1 percent or greater probability of impact of an NEO within the next 50 years and the object being greater than 50 meters in size.



Figure 6. Expanded view of the risk corridor crossing the United States.

⁷ International Asteroid Warning Network, <u>http://iawn.net/</u>

3.3 Third Inject

3.3.1 Threat Description

On November 25, 2017, a year after the hypothetical discovery, the object has been reacquired and additional observations have reduced orbit uncertainties and raised the impact probability to 100%. The impact will now occur somewhere in the 800-kilometer (500-mile) long region pictured in Figure 7. Estimates of the size remain between 100 to 250 meters (300 to 800 ft). A space mission to gather more information on the object is being developed to be launched in March 2019 and would reach the object eleven months later.



Figure 7. Region of possible impact one year after discovery.

SMPAG has concluded that diversion of the asteroid would take many tens of kinetic impactor missions, and launch and deflection payload resources will not be available in time for a deflection effort, so impact is inevitable. [Note that in an actual case, early and serious planning would be conducted to develop a strategy for deflecting the object. The exercise would be similar for a failed mitigation attempt (e.g., launch vehicle fails to deliver deflection payload); consequences of a failed attempt that moved the object to a new impact point were not considered in this exercise.]

Detailed estimates now show the nature of the insult and the area affected. These conclude that the area affected will be roughly the same for both an airburst (like that of the Chelyabinsk event but much larger) and a surface level burst. Figure 8 shows the possible damage area and consequences (recall that the actual impact would be at a single point on the map, not across the entire band). Impact could also be in the ocean just off the coast of Los Angeles, and worst-case estimates are that maximum local wave heights at the coast would be between 6 and 10 meters (32 ft), and flood heights in low areas would be between 0.5 and 1 meter (1.5 and 3 ft). As Figure 9 shows, affected areas would extend from Oxnard to San Clemente, with the area most affected lying between Malibu and Santa Monica. Figure 10 shows the probability of casualties for the impact

corridor assuming the largest size estimated for the asteroid and that the population is not evacuated.



Figure 8. Possible damage areas and consequences.





Figure 9. Top: Gage locations used for estimates of wave heights. The red dots are possible impact points used to estimate maximum wave heights. Bottom: Minimum and maximum wave heights for a 300-m asteroid impact in the ocean.



Figure 10. Casualty risk histogram given current threat corridor, a worst-case size of the asteroid, and assuming no evacuation. The chart merges the probability of impact along the risk corridor (total impact probability is 100%) with the population affected by the impact. For example, if the impact is in the ocean, there is a high probability that the number of casualties would be low. As the threat corridor crosses land, the populatio at risk increases.

3.3.2 Participant Discussions

The exercise participants discussed actions to be taken at this point, still almost three years before certain impact, noting the potential national economic consequences of por closures, loss of refineries, power generation, residences and other resources, and the requirements to evacuate and relocate large numbers of people and supportin infrastructure such as transportation, hospital, retirement facilities, water, power, and the like. They agreed that serious planning would need to begin. Topics and questions raised during the discussion are given below:

- Impact is now certain to take place in, or off shore of, Southern California.
- Even though the impact area will be relatively small in size, there will be national social and economic implications.
- Planning needs to begin even with two years to go until impact.
- As with other disasters, the state would be the lead in incident response and recovery; the federal government would provide support.
- At what point in this scenario would an Emergency Declaration or Major Disaster Declaration be approved, releasing federal funds?
- Would other sources of funding, such as FEMA grants, be available to states and other entities to assist in preparation and planning for a predicted impact?
- In this scenario, the state of California would be able to refer to its extensive tsunami plans to supplement its planning for this incident.

- Special consideration would need to be paid to the Port of Long Beach, as it is the largest port on the West Coast and activity there affects all of U.S. Closing the port will have serious effects on the national infrastructure.
- Special consideration would also need to be paid to the petroleum production and oil rigs in the risk corridor.
- Insurance of private property would also be an issue. Is a new State policy a possibility to insure private property in this context of risk?
- Joint messaging would need to account for unreliable information that is likely to spread.
- How would evacuation plans account for vulnerable populations, such as the homeless, and those in hospitals, nursing homes, and critical care?
- There will be a need to build awareness without causing panic.
- What preparedness information would we message to the public?
- How do we gain the trust of the public as reliable sources of information?
- Coordination is paramount with all of those involved, from regional emergency managers to scientists.
- The response will need to be clearly sequenced.

3.4 Fourth Inject

On March 10, 2020 (~6-months before impact), additional observations and data from the successful fly-by mission combine to narrow the predicted impact region to the 40 by 20 km (25 by 13 mile) region in the Northern Los Angeles area shown in Figure 11 (again, the object could impact anywhere within the region where the red dots are located). The information from the fly-by has also refined the asteroid size estimates to roughly between 100 and 120 meters (300-400 ft) and confirmed that the object has a stony, metal-rich composition.

Solar glare now prevents further optical observations before impact, and refined estimates of the impact point must await radar observations by a planetary radar facility such as Arecibo first (with greater range) and then Goldstone, which will become possible about one month before impact.

Figure 12 shows the worst-case hazard zones, with a detailed breakdown of the insult based on an assumed individual impact point presented in Figure 11. The casualty risk assessment, Figure 13, shows that without evacuation, a very large population would be at risk.



Figure 11. Impact area predicted six months before impact.



Figure 12. Worst-case hazard zones around assumed impact point.



Figure 13. Casualty risk histogram assuming no evacuation and most probable size of asteroid. Over 75% probability of greater than 1,000 casualties.

As expected, a major disaster would also affect transportation and other infrastructure in this area, and presentations included predicted effects to critical transportation, electrical, natural gas, petroleum, chemical, water, ports and rail, health and retirement and emergency response facilities. Detailed charts are given in Appendix C.

At this point, attendees discussed the type of actions required to prepare for a land impact and its aftermath, and these comments and recommendations are included in Section 4.

3.5 Final Impact Estimate

The object became visible to radar 22 days before impact, and the impact point location was refined to be in the region of the red dots shown in Figure 14, which is centered in Pasadena, California, very near the Rose Bowl.



Figure 14. Base on radar observations, the impact locations was refined to that shown.

4. Post-Exercise Feedback

Comments and points of discussion at the conclusion of the exercise are grouped into three categories: Disaster Response, Specific comments, questions, and recommendations are listed below.

4.1 Comments Regarding This Exercise

- This scenario demonstrated that a disaster with so much advance notice will require federal, state and local officials to address unique and complex concerns at a national level. Questions include:
 - How much would it cost to repair Los Angeles?
 - What is the long-term impact to the nation if the Port of Los Angeles is inoperable for an extended period of time?
 - What will the government's role be in regulating private insurance in the predicted impact area?
 - What are the implications of economic and social flight from the predicted impact area in the years before impact?
 - How will we address critical infrastructure concerns?
 - What challenges will we face when implementing evacuation orders (note that State and local officials are the ones making all evacuation decisions, FEMA only supports)?
 - Will states require federal assistance to house displaced evacuees?
 - Will the state want or encourage all citizens to stay in California?
 - Will the state provide temporary housing for those who wish to return to the impacted area?
 - These issues should be discussed in the national strategy being developed by the Interagency Working Group for Detecting and Mitigating the Impact of Earth-Bound Near Earth Objects (DAMIEN)⁸.
- We would benefit from involving the FEMA Recovery Directorate in future exercises and meetings (e.g., the DAMIEN Working Group).
- The questions above have far reaching social and economic impacts which extend far beyond California.
- FEMA Office of External Affairs and NASA Office of Communications should work in close coordination.
- FEMA and NASA should brief this TTX to members of DAMIEN and the Planetary Impact Emergency Response Working Group (PIERWG).⁹
- This TTX benefited by the participation of members of FEMA Office of External Affairs and NASA Office of Communications.

⁸ Drew, Benjamin A., "Detecting and Mitigating the Impact of Earth-bound Near-Earth Objects (DAMIEN)," Office of Science and Technology,"

https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/PCAST/9.25A Drew A..pdf ⁹ See "Planetary Impact Emergency Response Working Group (PIERWG) Charter," August 2015. https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/signed_pierwg_charter_10212015.pdf

- Consider hosting a media teleconference at future exercises.
- In this scenario, what is the total cost including homes and infrastructure?
- This scenario has national policy ramifications, both social and economic. For example, how much does it cost to rebuild a major US city?
- Planning for an impact would start by consulting existing procedures for earthquakes, fires, tsunamis, nuclear event, etc.
- Emergency managers who participated in this exercise indicated that the scientists provided sufficient information to begin decision-making in this scenario.

4.2 General Comments

- An asteroid impact would require that many agencies at all levels of government work together toward a common goal.
- The exercises have consistently improved and TTX#3 contained adequate and necessary information for emergency managers to begin decision-making within the scenario.
- Participants would like more details on mitigation techniques and planning options, including the use of nuclear explosive devices.
- A two-day exercise would have allowed for the presentation of more details in some areas and more discussion of options.
- Participants would like to understand the economic impacts to businesses and residences better, including insurance implications.
- International collaboration would be expected and welcomed.
- Buy-in from community leaders would be expected and welcomed.
- This type of hazard may warrant national policy changes to enable more public investment in in-space sensor technology development to allow early detection and characterization of potential threats.

4.3 Informing the Public

- There is a need to improve the communication of risk corridors.
- The 2-year gap in observations in this scenario would likely cause much confusion in the public.
- Historical anecdotes would be helpful to inform public and reduce the number of doubters.
- Public messaging should address public safety far in advance of a predicted impact.
- Communicators should account for those in the public who may distrust the government or who otherwise do not believe official sources.
- Simple visuals to explain complex concepts used in this scenario would be beneficial. The racetrack analogy used in TTX#3 was cited as an example of effectively explaining how two orbiting objects can come together.
- In some cases, the location of a threatening object might prevent observational data necessary for refining the predictions. These situations will need to be carefully explained to the public and decision makers.

- A short 3 to 5-minute video of the exercise as a gateway to other information might be useful way to socialize the threat and response.
- We should consider establishing some standard messages for an impact event, similar to the "National Atmospheric Release Advisory" messages.
- In this scenario, FEMA should message about their specific efforts to protect communities and critical infrastructure to allay fears and address any misinformation.
- We should aim to be as prepared as possible to respond publicly to a predicted impact.
- Consider media teleconferences for future exercises.
- We should publicize NASA's web resources as credible and up-to-date sources of information on this hazard.
- Other relevant resources, such as research papers, should be searchable and accessible.
- A mobile phone app with planetary defense-related games could be quite effective in educating the public on the hazard.
- A realistic dynamic simulation of a hypothetical event, such as that presented in TTX#3, using high-end computer graphics techniques could be orders of magnitude more effective in public messaging than text and still images.
- We should consider using a term other than Potentially Hazardous Asteroid (PHA) so we do not imply an immediate threat; e.g., High Interest Asteroid (HIA) or High Interest Object (HIO).

4.4 Future Exercises

- Consider use of social media to expand awareness of the exercise and the hazard more broadly and efficiently. Also consider other ways to promote these exercises in media.
- It was invaluable having emergency managers in the room. We should try to increase state and local participation in future exercises.
- The two-year TTX schedule seems to be sufficient, but should we do something in the gap years as well?
- A repeat of this exercise, possibly at the national level and modified for an East Coast threat, should be considered for presentation in D.C. in the next 3-4 months. Representatives of utilities, transportation, community leaders, insurance companies, legal professionals, and other interests should be invited to participate. This might be most useful after the new administration is in place.
- We should consider involving representatives from foreign governments, United Nations, International Red Cross, etc. in future exercises.
- We should provide more insight into the operational scenario, particularly in terms of how command, control and communication between multiple players from different organizations are carried out.
- We should consider involving deflection opportunities in future exercise scenarios.
- Future exercises should be two days long and have participants break-out in groups after the first notification four years out, so groups can discuss the scenario.

- Future exercises should include Homeland Security representatives, emergency response representatives, and members of the DAMIEN group.
- The frequency and content of briefs and press releases should be exercised more thoroughly.
- Materials for pre-reading have enhanced these exercises.
- FEMA Office of External Affairs and NASA Office of Communications should be involved in all future exercises.

5. Recommendations

- Since messaging is so challenging, a global, multi-stakeholder campaign for promoting awareness and educating the public in all aspects of the NEO threat could be an invaluable public service.
- Communications will be very challenging for an actual event. We should exercise the communication part in more detail. Clearer and simpler material should be developed for public distribution.
- There should be increased visibility of these exercises within FEMA.
- The public should be aware of FEMA's participation in these exercises, as it will help the public develop some confidence in our ability to deal with such an emergency.
- If such a threat is discovered, there will likely be uninformed and inaccurate information posted on the Internet and in media. We should continue to publicize and develop trusted and authoritative sources of information. For an actual NEO impact threat, the possibility of standing up a trusted NEO Threat TV Channel or a single Internet website focused on the situation should be considered.
- A workshop for members of the media to inform them of the asteroid impact hazard should be considered.
- See Appendix D for a summary of a table top exercise conducted as a follow-on to Tabletop #3 for NASA and FEMA public affairs and communications professional staff.

APPENDIX A. Organizers and Attendees

Organizers

The team below developed TTX #3. Specific contributions were provided by:

William Ailor, The Aerospace Corporation, Exercise Script and Coordination Mark Boslough, Sandia National Laboratories, Physical Effects of Impac Paul Chodas, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Asteroid Threat Desig Barbara Jennings, Sandia National Laboratories, Infrastructure Effects Lindley Johnson, NASA Headquarters, Overall Guidance Leviticus A. Lewis, FEMA Headquarters, Overall Guidance Donovan Mathias, NASA Ames Research Center, Nahum Melamed, The Aerospace Corporation, Deflection Modelin Paul Miller, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,

Attendees

NAME	POSITION, ORGANIZATION
David Agle	Public Affairs Officer, NASA JPL
William Ailor	The Aerospace Corporation
Josie Arcurio	FEMA Region IX External Affairs
Linda Billings	Public Communications Consultant, NASA HQ
Mark Boslough	Sandia National Laboratories
Dan Bout	California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
Craig Burkhard	Asteroid Threat Assessment Project, NASA-Ames
Laurie Cantillo	Office of Communications, NASA HQ
Paul Chodas	Director, Center for NEO Studies, NASA JPL
Casey Deshong	FEMA Region IX External Affairs
Kelly Fast	NEO Observations Program Manager, NASA HQ
Victoria Friedensen	PDCO Program Executive, NASA HQ
Phil Groves	IMAX
Barbara Jennings	Sandia National Laboratories
Lindley Johnson	Planetary Defense Officer, NASA HQ
Tara Kane	FEMA HQ
Zigmond Leszcczynski	The Aerospace Corporation
Leviticus Lewis	FEMA HQ
Maj. Timothy Locke	USAF AFSPC SMC/ADYT
Donovan Mathias	Asteroid Threat Assessment Project, NASA Ames
Veronica McGregor	Public Affairs Officer, NASA JPL
Nahum Melamed	The Aerospace Corporation
Paul Miller	Lawrence Livermore National Laborator
Roy Nakagawa	The Aerospace Corporation
Jan Osburg	RAND Corporation
Tim Scranton	FEMA Region IX
Melissa Wiehenstroer	FEMA Presidential Management Fellow, NASA HQ

APPENDIX B. Press Releases

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

TTX 3 Official NASA Notification, Inject 1

On October 1, 2016, an object estimated to be about 100-250 meters in size, based only on its observed brightness, was discovered by astronomers at the Catalina Sky Survey of the University of Arizona, Tucson. Information on the detection of the object and its calculated orbit was provided to the NASA Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO) by the International Astronomical Union sanctioned Minor Planet Center, hosted by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory in Cambridge, MA. Based on observations conducted worldwide since then, the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Center for Near-Earth Object Studies (CNEOS) (www.neo.jpl.nasa.gov) has calculated a 2 percent possibility of impact (equivalent to a 98 percent probability of no impact) on September 20, 2020.

Data currently available on 2016 TTX are insufficient to enable experts to say exactly if impact might occur in 2020 and if so, where impact would occur on Earth's surface. The area of Earth where impact might occur – which experts refer to as a "risk corridor" – now stretches across the United States, the Atlantic Ocean, and Africa. Further observations of 2016 TTX are critical to enable asteroid experts to more precisely determine its future orbit path, assess the size of this object, and determine its other characteristics – for instance, its composition and the object's rotation rate – to narrow the possibility of if and where it could impact the Earth and what the effects could be. Once observers are able to collect more data on the object, asteroid science experts will perform analysis to determine if the object is actually on an impact trajectory and if it is large enough to do significant damage at Earth's surface.

For most of its orbit around the Sun, 2016 TTX is inside Earth's orbit, making its position as viewed from Earth in the sunward half of the sky. 2016 TTX is therefore difficult to see by ground-based telescopes. However, observers will be able to track it in the night sky until January 2017, when it will become too dim to be seen. NASA will collaborate with its partners in other US government agencies and the International Asteroid Warning Network to obtain addition information on 2016 TTX and will issue updates on what is determined about the object and its future path as significant data become available.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

TTX 3 press release, Inject 2

Asteroid 2016 TTX probability of impact in 2020 rises to 65 percent

January 10, 2017, Cambridge MA – The International Asteroid Warning Network (IAWN) announced today that based on nearly a hundred observations worldwide over the past three months experts calculate that the Potentially Hazardous Asteroid (PHA) 2016 TTX now has a 65 percent probability of impact with Earth on September 20, 2020. Furthermore, the asteroid has moved into the glare of the Sun and will not be observable for several months.

2016 TTX, now estimated to be between 100 and 250 meters in size, was discovered by astronomers at the Catalina Sky Survey of the University of Arizona, Tucson, on October 1, 2016. Observations last November also revealed that the asteroid is a stony "S-class" object, probably with some metal content.

Last October, based on the observations of 2016 TTX available to that point, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Center for Near-Earth Object Studies (CNEOS) (<u>http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov</u>) estimated a 2 percent probability of impact (or a 98 percent probability of no impact) on September 20, 2020. The asteroid has been tracked almost continually since then, and its orbit path into the future continually refined. As the range of possible positions of the asteroid in 2020 has increasingly converged toward the point where the Earth will also pass, the impact probability has risen. With the additional data now in hand, CNEOS estimates the probability of impact has reached 65 percent.

It has also been announced that the Space Missions Planning Advisory Group (SMPAG), a forum of the world's space agencies, would start to plan possible deflection mission activities based on the current IAWN warning exceeding the established threshold of a 1 percent or greater probability of impact of an NEO within the next 50 years and the object being greater than 50 meters in size.

NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO) has also published a so-called "risk corridor" – a long narrow region across the Earth where impact might occur. The path of possible impact points extends halfway around the globe, stretching from the Pacific Ocean, diagonally across the continental United States from southern California to New Jersey (see diagram below). It then continues across the Atlantic Ocean and on to Africa.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

INJECT 2 PRESS RELEASE

EXERCISE-EXERCISE-EXERCISE

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.



Since the risk corridor passes across U.S. territory, NASA's PDCO will work with the U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA's) National Response Coordination Center on planning for terrestrial preparedness and mitigation. To support mitigation planning, experts across the country will work on modeling the characteristics and effects of different types of impact – atmospheric impacts over water or over land and surface impacts on water or on land, all of which are possibilities at this point. "The more we can learn about this asteroid, the better able we will be to accurately predict the precise location of impact as well as impact effects," said Lindey Johnson, NASA's Planetary Defense Officer.

For most of its orbit around the Sun, 2016 TTX is inside Earth's orbit, making its position generally sunward as viewed from Earth. 2016 TTX has now entered that part of the sky and cannot be observed by ground-based telescopes. 2016 TTX has now moved too close to the Sun and is lost in its glare, but it will re-emerge in June. Once astronomers observe the asteroid again, orbit experts will update the impact probability predictions, either eliminating the risk of impact or confirming that an impact could still occur and provide update of the extent of the risk corridor. The IAWN will announce those results as soon as they are available.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

INJECT 3 PRESS RELEASE EXERCISE – EXERCISE – EXERCISE

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

TTX 3 press release, Inject 2:

Asteroid headed for impact near Southern California now moving out of view

November 25, 2017, Cambridge MA – The International Asteroid Warning Network (IAWN) announced today that based on hundreds of observations gathered worldwide over the past year experts now conclude that asteroid 2016 TTX will impact somewhere in the Southern California or nearby Pacific Ocean region on September 20, 2020. Because the asteroid has again moved far away from the Earth and into the glare of the Sun, no further updates will be possible until it re-emerges nearly two years from now.

Astronomers at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Center for Near Earth Object Studies (CNEOS) concluded last June that an Earth impact was nearly certain, and since then have narrowed down the area of likely impact, first to the western hemisphere, then to the western United States, and now to an ellipse stretching across southern California and the nearby Pacific Ocean (see below).

2016 TTX is believed to be a stony and possibly metal-rich asteroid roughly 100 to 250 meters in size. It was discovered just a year ago by astronomers at the Catalina Sky Survey of the University of Arizona, Tucson.



This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

INJECT 3 PRESS RELEASE EXERCISE – EXERCISE – EXERCISE

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

Experts say an object of the estimated size and composition of 2016 TTX could break up and explode as it streaks through the atmosphere, but a few large fragments would likely survive and impact the ground. However, they calculate that the explosion (called an airburst) could release as much as 450 Megatons of TNT equivalent energy. The resulting air-blast will likely cause total destruction within a 20-kilometer (15 mile) radius and produce major damage over a 40-kilometer (25 mile) radius from the center of the ground-point of the blast.

The U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) will launch a spacecraft to 2016 TTX that will do a fast fly-by of the asteroid and collect data that will enable a more in-depth characterization. The tentative launch date for the mission, called BOSSSA – Body dynamics, Orbital path, Size, Shape, and Structure Assessment – is March 21, 2019. The spacecraft is scheduled to encounter the asteroid in February 2020. "The more we can learn about this asteroid, the more accurately we can predict both the precise location of impact and the impact effects," said Lindley Johnson, NASA's Planetary Defense Officer.

To support impact mitigation planning, experts across the country are refining their models of the characteristics and effects of different types of impact – atmospheric impacts over water or over land and surface impacts on water or on land, all of which are still possibilities at this point. Because the impact will occur in the United States, NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO), which is a member of the IAWN, has the lead on providing up-to-date information on 2016 TTX. The U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has activated its National Response Coordination Center (NRCC) to prepare for a large-scale catastrophic event that is now certain to occur unless the asteroid could be deflected while still in space.

"The President of the United States has directed FEMA to lead the response effort and be prepared to execute with state and local officials plans to minimize and mitigate the effects on human life and critical infrastructure," says NRCC Director Leviticus Lewis. "The PDCO will work closely with FEMA and continue to coordinate observations of and communications about 2016 TTX as the information becomes available," says NASA Planetary Defense Officer Johnson.

Asteroid 2016 TTX spends most of its time inside Earth's orbit, placing it in the sunward part of the sky as viewed from Earth and making it difficult to see from ground-based telescopes. 2016 TTX has now moved out of view but it will come back into view in October 2019. 2016 TTX will then remain observable from October 2019 until March 2020, after which time CNEOS will further refine its prediction of where the impact could occur. The IAWN will then announce those results.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

INJECT 4 PRESS RELEASE EXERCISE – EXERCISE – EXERCISE

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

TTX 3 press release, inject 3

Asteroid impact will occur in greater Los Angeles area September 20th

March 10, 2020, Cambridge MA – The International Asteroid Warning Network (IAWN) announced today that based on observations taken worldwide since October 2016 and now by a spacecraft fly-by, orbit prediction experts have determined that the September 20, 2020, impact of asteroid 2016 TTX will occur over land in the greater Los Angeles area.

The U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA's) BOSSSA (Body dynamics, Orbital path, Size, Shape, and Structure Assessment) spacecraft flew by 2016 TTX in February, collecting data that experts used to improve their predictions of the location and effects of the impending impact. The BOSSSA data confirms the asteroid is roughly 120 meters in size.

Based on the BOSSSA data used to update ground-based observations of the asteroid from October 2019 until this month, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Center for Near Earth Object Studies (CNEOS) has narrowed down the area of impact to a region roughly 40 kilometers (25 miles) long by 20 kilometers (13 miles) wide, stretching across the greater North Los Angeles area (see below). The impact region is highlighted by the red dots in this depiction, each a possible impact point. Since the asteroid has again moved into the glare of the Sun and can no longer be observed by ground-based optical telescopes, further improvements on these predictions will not be possible until roughly a month before impact, when the asteroid approaches within range of the Arecibo planetary radar.



This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

INJECT 4 PRESS RELEASE EXERCISE – EXERCISE – EXERCISE

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

To support impact disaster planning, experts across the country are refining their models of the characteristics and effects of an impact of an object the size and type of 2016 TTX. Experts say an object of the size and composition of 2016 TTX could break up and explode as it streaks through the atmosphere, but a few large fragments would likely survive and impact the ground. However, they calculate that the explosion (called an airburst) will release as much as 50 Megatons of TNT equivalent energy. The resulting air-blast will likely cause total destruction within a 10-kilometer (7 mile) radius and produce major damage over a 25-kilometer (16 mile) radius from the center of the ground-point of the blast.

During the few weeks before impact, 2016 TTX will be approaching Earth from the direction of the Sun, so ground-based optical telescopes will not be able to observe it. However, radio telescopes equipped with radar transmitters will be able to observe the object, and the radar observations should be able to collect enough data on the asteroid to produce an image of it, finalize its orbital path, and precisely measure its size, shape, and body dynamics.

Because the impact will occur in the United States, NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO), which is a member of the IAWN, has the lead on providing any current information on 2016 TTX as it becomes available. The U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA's) National Response Coordination Center (NRCC) is working with FEMA Region 9, headquartered in Pasadena, California, to prepare for a certain large-scale catastrophic event.

This message is released as part of an exercise in a fictional scenario.

APPENDIX C. Presentation Charts

3rd Annual FEMA-NASA Near Earth Object Impact Table Top Exercise

The Aerospace Corporation October 25, 2016

Agenda, October 25

	SPEAKER	TOPIC
0800	Welcome (Bill Ailor, Aerospace)	Introductions
0805	Lindley Johnson (NASA)	NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard
0820	L.A. Lewis (FEMA)	Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
0900	Bill Ailor (Aerospace)	Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
0910 <	Paul Chodas (JPL)	Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
0925	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Possible impact areas
0930	BREAK	
1000	Paul Chodas (JPL)	2nd Inject
1015	Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	Deflection possibilities
1020	Mark Boslough (Sandia)	First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
1035	Paul Miller (LLNL)	Tsunami
1045	Barbara Jennings (Sandia)	Tsunami effects
1050	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Risk Assessment, Population affected
1100	Group Discussions	
1200	LUNCH	
1245	Group Feedback	
1330	Paul Chodas	Final Inject
1345	Mark Boslough	Physical effects in predicted impact area
1355	Donovan Mathias	Population displaced
1405	Barbara Jennings	Infrastructure affected
1415	BREAK	
1445	Group Discussions	
1545	Group Feedback	
1630	EXERCISE ENDS	

30



Planetary Defense Coordination Office Mission Statement:

Lead national and international efforts to:

- Detect any potential for significant impact of planet Earth by natural objects
- Appraise the range of potential effects by any possible impact
- Develop strategies to mitigate impact effects on human welfare

4



Planetary Defense Coordination Office



5

The PDCO is responsible to:

- Ensure early detection of potentially hazardous objects (PHOs) asteroids and comets whose orbits are predicted to bring them within 0.05 AU of Earth's orbit - and characterize PHOs of size large enough to affect Earth's surface to provide warning of potential impact effects if not deflected or mitigated
- · Provide timely and accurate communications about PHOs and any potential impact
- · Lead research into potential asteroid deflection and impact mitigation technologies and techniques
- Provide lead coordination role in U.S. Gov't planning for response to an actual impact threat (e.g., planetary science and deep space mission expertise for Federal Emergency Response Team).

The PDCO:

- Manages NASA's Near-Earth Object Observations Program to obtain best data available
- · Coordinates NEO observation efforts conducted at ground-based observatories sponsored by the National Science Foundation and space situational awareness facilities of the USAF
- Participates in federal agency exercises to plan and develop appropriate impact response
- · Conducts collaborative research on mitigation techniques with interagency and international partners










Overview

- NASA HQ SMD Planetary Sciences Division, Planetary Defense Coordination Office has partnered with the FEMA Response Directorate in a series of tabletop exercises discussing the impact of a NEO in the United States.
- FEMA and NASA have been cooperating since June 2010 on developing procedures for this scenario as required by Congress.
- Emphasis on previous exercises was to provide a basic overview of this scenario to FEMA executives, ESFLG and LNO Action officers. This exercise will emphasize interactions with the FEMA Regional staff and interaction with state, local, tribal emergency management officials.
- Purpose of exercise is to assess leadership reactions, information requirements and responses to a hypothetical prediction of a potentially hazardous object (PHO) impact with Earth in a short time.

15

Overview

- Joint efforts include formation of the Planetary Impact Emergency Response Working Group (PIERWG), cooperation on the OSTP sponsored National Preparedness Science and Technology Task Force-Space Hazard Review Team and the Interagency Working Group For Detecting and Mitigating the Impact of Earth Bound Near Earth Objects (DAMIEN). The DAMIEN Working Group is currently developing a National Strategy and Action Plan for this scenario.
- Lessons learned from the previous tabletops and this tabletop in particular due to the invited members of state and local emergency management agencies would be used to inform the national strategy and action plans being developed by the FEMA-NASA PIERWG and the DAMIEN Working Group.





	SPEAKER	TOPIC
0800	Welcome (Bill Ailor, Aerospace)	Introductions
0805	Lindley Johnson (NASA)	NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard
0820	L.A. Lewis (FEMA)	Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
0900	Bill Ailor (Aerospace)	Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
0910	Paul Chodas (JPL)	Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
0925	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Possible impact areas
0930	BREAK	
1000	Paul Chodas (JPL)	2nd Inject
1015	Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	Deflection possibilities
1020	Mark Boslough (Sandia)	First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
1035	Paul Miller (LLNL)	Tsunami
1045	Barbara Jennings (Sandia)	Tsunami effects
1050	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Risk Assessment, Population affected
1100	Group Discussions	
1200	LUNCH	
1245	Group Feedback	
1330	Paul Chodas	Final Inject
1345	Mark Boslough	Physical effects in predicted impact area
1355	Donovan Mathias	Population displaced
1405	Barbara Jennings	Infrastructure affected
1415	BREAK	
1445	Group Discussions	
1545	Group Feedback	
4000	EXERCISE ENDS	

Primary Team Members

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Bill Ailor*+	The Aerospace Corporation
Linda Billings	NASA HQ
Mark Boslough*	Sandia National Laboratories
Craig Burkhard	NASA Ames Research Center
Paul Chodas*	NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Souheil Ezzedioe	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Victoria Friedensen	NASA HQ
Barbara Jennings*	Sandia National Laboratories
Lindley Johnson*	NASA HQ
L.A. Lewis*	FEMA HQ
Donovan Mathias*	NASA Ames Research Center
Nahum Melamed	The Aerospace Corporation
Paul Miller*	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Jan Osburg	The RAND Corporation
Megan Bruck Syal	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
*Presenter	**Organizer

william.h.ailor@aero.org

22

Exercise Flow

- Threat used is feasible and realistic, but not necessarily representative of real threat
 - Warning time could be much longer or shorter, or in some cases there would be no warning
 - Object could be larger (more warning likely), or smaller (could be no warning; e.g., Chelyabinsk, Tunguska)
 - Consequences could range from none (ocean impact of relatively small object) to local/regional disaster of type that might result from scenario described in this exercise
- Information on threat will be presented as it might be for a real threat
- Presentations will provide basic details on information available and potential consequences; questions invited
- L.A. Lewis will lead exercise participants in discussions of preparations, etc.
- Participants will provide feedback on recommendations at end of discussion period
- Experts available to answer questions

william.h.ailor@aero.org

23 **AEROSPACE**

AEROSPACE

Inject #1: October 25, 2016 ~4 Years Before Possible Impact

Paul Chodas, JPL

© 2016 The Aerospace Corporation

Inject #1: Newly Discovered Asteroid Poses Small Threat of Earth Impact

Paul Chodas

Manager, Center for NEO Studies (CNEOS) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology



Asteroid "2016 TTX"



- Asteroid Discovered on Oct. 1, 2016 by the Catalina Sky Survey, near Tucson
- Followed up and confirmed by dozens of observatories around the world
- Approximate orbit and rough size known within a day:
 - Asteroid orbits the Sun once every 295 days or so (it orbits faster than our planet)
 - − Orbit comes close to Earth → Potentially Hazardous Asteroid (PHA)
 - Asteroid size, based on its brightness: 100 to 300 meters (300 to 1000 feet)
- Within a couple days, IAWN detects a small chance of impact on Sep. 20, 2020
- As asteroid is tracked, its chance of impact rises: 0.1% on Oct. 7, 1% on Oct. 13
- Even though orbital motion is very predictable, the data don't reveal exactly where the asteroid is and exactly how fast its going, so we can't be sure exactly where the asteroid will be when the Earth crosses its orbit on Sep. 20, 2020
- Predicting an asteroid impact is a little like predicting a crash on two intersecting race tracks, while we're in one racecar observing the other car



















Inject #2, Nov. 25, 2017: Asteroid Headed for Impact in Southern California Region

Paul Chodas

Manager, Center for NEO Studies (CNEOS) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

2016 NASA/FEMA Tabletop Exercise, Aerospace Corp., October 25-26, 2016



Impact Now Certain in Southern California



- Based on hundreds of tracking observations, orbit experts agree that asteroid 2016 TTX will impact in the Southern California region on September 20, 2020
- The predicted impact region is about 800 kilometers (500 miles) long, roughly centered on the city of Los Angeles
 - It's equally-likely the impact could occur in the ocean or on land
- Asteroid has moved into the glare of the Sun and can no longer be observed; further updates on the impact region will not be possible until the asteroid reemerges two years from now
- The asteroid is size uncertain: it is roughly 100 to 250 meters (300 to 800 feet) across; the composition is believed to be stony, possibly metal-rich
- A space mission to gather more information about the asteroid is under development; it would be launched in March 2019, about 20 months from now, and reach the asteroid 11 months later
- Feasibility of deflecting the asteroid off its collision course is being investigated



TTX#3 Scenario

- Asteroid size and density drive deflection requirements
- Two deflection techniques explored
 - High energy kinetic Impact
 - Nuclear standoff detonation
- Kinetic impact deflection requires multiple launches
- Nuclear standoff deflection possible, but requires large yield
- No suitable launch and payload assets available
- Conclusion: No deflection capability available in time to avert impact

Physical Effects Briefing

william.h.ailor@aero.org

Inject 2

NIS

Nov. 25, 2017 TTX3

Mark Boslough Sandia National Labs Albuquerque, NM

Bill Fogleman, GRIT – Mapping

Sandia s a mu t program laboratory operated by Sand a Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE AC04 94AL85000.



AEROSPACE

49







Simulations of water impacts in support of TTX3 (2016 FEMA Tabletop exercise)

Souheil Ezzedine, Megan Bruck Syal, and Paul Miller, LLNL

















1000 Welcome (Bit Aler, Aerospace) Introductions 1001 LA lowis (FEMA) NRSA Prenetry Defines Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard 1000 Bit Alor (Aerospace) Introduction to be services flow 1001 Paul Chocks (JPL) Overview of thread described in press release provided with read-ahead material 1002 Data Chocks (JPL) Overview of thread described in press release provided with read-ahead material 1003 Bit Edwa Introduction possibilities 1004 Nathan Melana (MASA-Arnes) Possible inpact areas 1005 Barbara Introduction possibilities 1006 Nathan Melana (MASA-Arnes) Post-Arnes 1005 Barbara Integration possibilities 1006 Donoran Mathias (NASA-Arnes) Post-Arnes 1006 Chocks (JPL) Tarana effects 1005 Barbara Integration defects 1006 Chocks (JPL) Post-Arnes 1007 Chocks (JPL) Post-Arnes 1008 Barbara Integration defects 1009 Donoran Mathias (NASA-Arnes) Post-Arnes 1016 Barbara <	TIME	SPEAKER	ТОРІС
 Lindey Johnson (NASA) MASA's Panelary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing if NEO haza'd Li Lewis (FEMA) Introduction to the evercise, goals, expectations Paul Chodas (PL) Overview of fitned described in press release provided with read-alread material Dorona Mathias (NASA-Ame) Paul Chodas (PL) Overview of fitned described in press release provided with read-alread material Paul Chodas (PL) Overview of fitned described in press release provided with read-alread material Paul Mine (LIAS) Paul Mine (LIASA-Ame) Paul All Assessment, Population affected Group Discussion Exercise E Nois Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Lemmed, 9:00 All Same Room. Check in at 8:30 All Mine (LIASA-Ame) Paul All Debrief & Lessons Lemmed, 9:00 All Same Room. Check in at 8:30 All Mine Mine (LIASA-Ame) Cepc Discussion Exercise Group Discussion Exercise Group Discussion Exercise Call All All All All All All All All All	0800	Welcome (Bill Ailor, Aerospace)	Introductions
1990 LA Lewis (FEMA) Introduction to the severaise, goals, expectations 1900 Bill Allor (Aerospace) Introduction to the severaise flow 1901 Paul Chocks (JPL) Overview of first discribed in press release provided with read-shead material 1929 BitRAK Possible impact areas 1900 Paul Chocks (JPL) Overview of first discribed in press release provided with read-shead material 1925 Donoran Mathias (NASA-Armes) Possible impact areas 1930 BitRAG Tarameni difeds 1931 Mark Deskugi (Sanda) Tirit-look chorts on physical effects of entry of observed object 1935 Donoran Mathias (NASA-Armes) RelA Assessment, Population alfocted 1936 Derooran Mathias (NASA-Armes) RelA Assessment, Population alfocted 1930 Group Decessions Possible introduction displaced 1931 Bertary Jennings Intraduction displaced 1930 Exercise ENDS Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Lemmed, 4000 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AF 1930 Exercise ENDS Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Lemmed, 4000 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AF 1931 Exercise ENDS	0805	Lindley Johnson (NASA)	NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard
900 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Uttraduce team. Describe exercise few 9010 Peak Chodes (JPL) Overview of thread described in press releases provided with read-ahead material 9030 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Overview of thread described in press releases provided with read-ahead material 9030 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Overview of thread described in press releases provided with read-ahead material 9030 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Overview of thread described in press releases provided with read-ahead material 9030 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Overview of thread described in press releases provided with read-ahead material 9030 Bill Alier (Arcage, DP.) Definetion possibilities Interduced and the press of the	0820	L.A. Lewis (FEMA)	Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
0910 Paul Chodes (PL) Overview of threat described in press releases provided with read-shead material 0920 Denova Mathies (NSS-Ame) Possibilities 1930 Read Chodes (PL) 2nd Inject 1935 Naturn Metamod (Aarcepace) Deflection possibilities 1930 Read Chodes (PL) Tsurami 1935 Barthara Jennings (Sanda) First-lock charts on physical effects of entry of observed object. 1930 Poul Miler (LIN) Tsurami 1945 Barthara Jennings (Sanda) Tsurami 1940 Oroup Discussions Tsurami effects 1940 Oroup Discussions Tsurami effects 1940 Dorovan Mathies (NAS-Ames) Risk Assessment, Population affected 1940 Oroup Discussions Tsurami effects 1940 Dorovan Mathies Population displaced 1945 Brack A Tsurami effects 1945 Brack A Population displaced 1945 Brack A Transition displaced 1945 Brack A Transition displaced 1945 Brack A Tsurami effects 1946 Group Discussions <td>0900</td> <td>Bill Ailor (Aerospace)</td> <td>Introduce team. Describe exercise flow</td>	0900	Bill Ailor (Aerospace)	Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
Durvan Induita (Weberofile) Foldboll Injud. attacks 1000 BREAK 1000 BREAK 1000 Mark Boelough (Sanda) Pirst-took charts on physical effects of entry of observed object 1005 Paul Miler (LLNL) T sunami 1006 Group Debacasions 1000 Group Debacasions 1000 Group Debacasions 1000 Break Debaca	0910	Paul Chodas (JPL)	Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
1000 Pad Chodes (JPL) 2nd Inject 1015 Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Deflection possibilities 1020 Mark Boologh (Sandhall) First-Lock charts on physical effects of entry of observed object 1035 Paul Miler (LIN) Tsurami 1046 Betactara Jenning (Sandhall) Tsurami effects 1030 LUNCH Excercised Chock and the physical effects of entry of observed object 1030 LUNCH Excercised Chock and the physical effects in predicted impact area 1030 Run Chocks Final light 1031 Mark Boologh Physical effects in predicted impact area 1035 Betack Excercise Chocks 1045 Betack Excercise Group Disccussion 1046 Chocks after Luncch) 210 1047 Deck after Luncch) 211	0925	BREAK	Possible impact areas
1015 Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Defection possibilities 1020 Mark Boalough (Sandia) First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object 1030 Paul Miler (LLN) Tsurami 1045 Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Tsurami 1046 Barbara Jennings (NSA-Annes) Risk Assessment, Population affected 1040 Croup Discussions Final Inject 1041 Group Discussions Final Inject 1045 Barbara Jennings Infrastructure affected 1045 Barbara Group Discussions 1046 Group Discussions 1 1047 Barbara Group Discussions 1048 Group Discussions	1000	Paul Chodas (JPL)	2nd Inject
1020 Mark Bostoupt (Sandia) First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object 1030 Peak Miler (LLN) Tsurami 1045 Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Tsurami effects 1040 Orozvan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Risk Assessment, Population affected 1040 LUNCH First-look charts in production affected 1041 Example Todata First-look charts in production affected 1042 Group Feedback First-look charts in production affected 1045 Barbara Jennings Infrastructure affected 1045 Break Same Room. Check in at 8:30 Affected 1050 Exercises Group Discussion 1060 Exercises Group Discussion </td <td>1015</td> <td>Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)</td> <td>Deflection possibilities</td>	1015	Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	Deflection possibilities
1035 Patu Miler (LNL) Isurami 1045 Battara Jenning (Sandia) Tsurami iffeds 1030 Dorovan Mathias (NASA-Arnes) Risk Assessment, Population affected 1030 Group Discussions Ital Inject 1036 Battara Jenning Standia Provide Interview of Interview affected 1030 Patu Chodas Final Inject 1031 Mark Doslough Physical effects in predicted impact area 1035 Dorovan Mathias Population displaced 1045 Battara Jennings Infrastructure affected 1045 BREAK Infrastructure affected 1046 <td< td=""><td>1020</td><td>Mark Boslough (Sandia)</td><td>First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object</td></td<>	1020	Mark Boslough (Sandia)	First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
Initial control (Initial Production) Initial Access Initial Control (Initial Production affected) Initial Production affected Initial Control (Initial Production affected) Initial Production affected Initial Control (Initial Production) Initial Production affected Initial Production (Initial Production affected) Initial Production affected) Initial Production (Initial Produ	1035	Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara, Jennings (Sandia)	Tsunami Tsunami effecte
 Coroup Discussions Coroup Peedback Coroup Peedback Physical effects in predicted impact area Donovan Mathias Population displaced Barbara Jennings Infrastructure affected Becavity Coroup Peedback Coroup Peedback Coroup Peedback Coroup Discussions Coroup Discussion	1045	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Risk Assessment Population affected
 Buy Conduction Coup Feedback Physical effects in predicted impact area Convora Mathias Population displaced BREAK Coup Feedback Exercise Enorg Coup Feedback Coup	1100	Group Discussions	
1345 Group Feedback 1330 Paul Chodas Final Inject 1355 Donovan Mathias Population displaced 1355 Donovan Mathias Population displaced 1415 Barbara Jennings Infrastructure affected 1415 Break Infrastructure affected 1415 Break Infrastructure affected 1415 Group Discussions 1955 1500 Exercise Endos Infrastructure affected 1901 Exercise Endos Infrastructure affected 1902 Exercise Choice Infrastructure affected 1904 Encore 71 Infrastructure affected 1905 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AL Infrastructure affected 1907 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AL Infrastructure affected 1907 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AL Infrastructure affected 1907 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AL Infrastructure affected 1907 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:3	1200	LUNCH	<u>^</u>
130 Paul Chodas Final Inject 1345 Mork Boslough Physical effects in predicted impact area 1355 Donovan Mathias Population displaced 1405 Berbara Jannings Infrastructure affected 1415 Group Feedback 1530 EXERCISE ENDS Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Leerned, 9:00 Aut Same Room. Check in at 8:30 Ai gamong 71 Acres Ac	1245	Group Feedback	
135 Mark Boslough Physical effects in predicted impact area 135 Donovan Mathias Population displaced 1415 BREAK 1445 Group Discussions 1545 Group Feedback 1680 EXERCISE ENDS Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AI genoorg 71 October 271 October 271 October 271 October 271 Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)	1330	Paul Chodas	Final Inject
1355 Donovan Mathias Population displaced 1405 Barbara Jennings Infrastructure affected 1415 BREAK 1415 Group Feedback 1535 Group Feedback 1530 Excercises Broup Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)	1345	Mark Boslough	Physical effects in predicted impact area
Infrastructure affected Infrastructure affect	1355	Donovan Mathias	Population displaced
145 Group Discussions 145 Group Jeedback 180 EXERCISE ENDS Wednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Learned, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 A 19 Constraints of the second	1405	Barbara Jennings	Infrastructure affected
termination of the second seco	1415	BREAK Group Discussions	
Vednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Lerined, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AU arrow 71 October 27 71 October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Lerined, 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AU The AERCE Frank of the Aerce Science o	1545	Group Feedback	
Vednesday, October 26: Hot Debrief & Lessons Letimed, 9:00 Ath Same Room. Check in at 8:30 At percorry 71 Of AERO To AERO The AERO T	1630	EXERCISE ENDS	
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
Exercise Group Discussion (Feedback after Lunch)			
(Feedback after Lunch)			
(Feedback after Lunch)	Exe	ercise Grou	o Discussion
	Exe	ercise Group	Discussion
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback after	o Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion er Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion er Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion er Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion r Lunch)
	Exe (Fe	ercise Group edback afte	o Discussion r Lunch)

Γ



TIN	ME .	SPEAKER	TOPIC
080	00	Welcome (Bill Ailor, Aerospace)	Introductions
080	05	Lindley Johnson (NASA)	NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard
082	20	L.A. Lewis (FEMA)	Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
090	00	Bill Ailor (Aerospace)	Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
091	10	Paul Chodas (JPL)	Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
092	25	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Possible impact areas
093	30	BREAK	
100	00	Paul Chodas (JPL)	2nd Inject
101	15	Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	Deflection possibilities
102	20	Mark Boslough (Sandia)	First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
103	35	Paul Miller (LLNL)	Tsunami
104	45	Barbara Jennings (Sandia)	Tsunami effects
105	50	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Risk Assessment, Population affected
110	00	Group Discussions	
120	00	LUNCH	
124	45	Group Feedback	
133	30	Paul Chodas	Final Inject
134	45	Mark Boslough	Physical effects in predicted impact area
135	55	Donovan Mathias	Population displaced
140	05	Barbara Jennings	Infrastructure affected
141	15	BREAK	
144	45	Group Discussions	
154	45	Group Feedback	
163	30	EXERCISE ENDS	
We	ednes	day, October 26: Hot Debri	ef & Lessons Learned. 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AM
ailor@aero.c	org		75 AEROSI
1	1		
	A	MALE A	
1	MAL		
14	1XX		
1000	1 201 9		
XVA	1440	Contraction 1	

Inject #3, Mar. 10, 2020: Asteroid Will Impact in Greater Los Angeles Area

Paul Chodas

Manager, Center for NEO Studies (CNEOS) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Aerospace Corp., October 25-26, 2016












Asteroid Threat Assessment Project NASA Ames Research Center

TTX3, Pasadena, CA October 24, 2016















TIME	SPEAKER	TOPIC
0800	Welcome (Bill Ailor, Aerospace)	Introductions
0805	Lindley Johnson (NASA)	NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO bazard
0820	L.A. Lewis (FEMA)	Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
0900	Bill Ailor (Aerospace)	Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
0910	Paul Chodas (JPL)	Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
0925	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Possible impact areas
1000	Paul Chodas (IPI)	2nd Inject
1015	Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	Deflection possibilities
1020	Mark Boslough (Sandia)	First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
1035	Paul Miller (LLNL)	Tsunami
1045	Barbara Jennings (Sandia)	Tsunami effects
1050	Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	Risk Assessment, Population affected
1100	Group Discussions	
1200	LUNCH	
1245	Group Feedback	
1330	Paul Chodas	Final Inject
1345	Mark Boslougn	Physical effects in predicted impact area
1300	Donovan waunas	Infrastructure officiated
1405	Barbara Jennings	Infrastructure affected
1415	Group Discussions	
1545	Group Feedback	
1630	EXERCISE ENDS	
Wedne	esday, October 26: Hot Debri	ef & Lessons Learned. 9:00 AM Same Room. Check in at 8:30 AN
da	Octobor 25	
ıda,	October 25	
ida,	October 25	
da, <u>TIME</u> 0800	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor Aerospace)	TOPIC Introductions
da, <u>TIME</u> 0800 0805	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Ailor Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard
TIME 0800 0805 0820	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Ailor Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chedas (JPL)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chadas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Pappar	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA, Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chadas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chordes (JPL)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing th NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA, Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chadas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chadas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Denovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA, Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Denovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing th NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-took charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor, Aerospace) Lindley, Johnson (NASA) LA, Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chadas (JPL) Donavan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chadas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing th NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-took charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Allor Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Allor (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames)	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduce team. Describe exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100 1200	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH	TOPIC Introductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100 1200 1245	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback	TOPIC Inductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100 1245 1330	October 25 SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslowah	TOPIC Inductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100 1245 1330 1345	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paur Chadas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough	TCPIC Inductions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Ponulation directard
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1050 1100 1245 1330 13455	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias	TCPIC Incoluctions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Infecture true affection
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1045 1050 1100 1245 1330 1345 1355 1405	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias Barbara Jennings	TCPIC Involuctions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Injustructure affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1330 1345 1355 1405 1415	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BRCAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BRCAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BRCAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BrCAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias Barbara Jennings BREAK Group Discussions	TCPIC Involuctions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami Tsunami Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Infrastructure affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1355 1405 1445 1545	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias Barbara Jennings BREAK Group Discussions Group Discussions	TOPIC Insoluctions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Infrastructure affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1050 1100 1245 1355 1405 1445 1545 1630	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) BREAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias Barbara Jennings BREAK Group Discussions Group Feedback	TOPIC Insoluctions NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Infrastructure affected
TIME 0800 0805 0820 0900 0910 0925 0930 1000 1015 1020 1035 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1045 1330 1345 1355 1405 1415 1445 1545 1630 Wedne	SPEAKER Welcome (Bill Alior, Aerospace) Lindley Johnson (NASA) LA Lewis (FEMA) Bill Alior (Aerospace) Paul Chodas (JPL) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) BRCAK Paul Chodas (JPL) Nahum Melamed (Aerospace) Mark Boslough (Sandia) Paul Miller (LLNL) Barbara Jennings (Sandia) Donovan Mathias (NASA-Ames) Group Discussions LUNCH Group Feedback Paul Chodas Mark Boslough Donovan Mathias Barbara Jennings BREAK Group Discussions Group Discussions Group Discussions Group Discussions Group Feedback EXERCISE ENDS	TCPIO Inject NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office and NASA's program addressing the NEO hazard Introduction to the exercise, goals, expectations Introduction to the exercise flow Overview of threat described in press release provided with read-ahead material Possible impact areas 2nd Inject Deflection possibilities First-look charts on physical effects of entry of observed object Tsunami Tsunami Tsunami effects Risk Assessment, Population affected Final Inject Physical effects in predicted impact area Population displaced Infrastructure affected





APPENDIX D: FEMA-NASA Public Affairs Follow-Up Exercise

After briefly discussing the immense public relations challenges that would likely arise in the event of a predicted asteroid impact, attendees at the October 2016, NASA-FEMA Tabletop Exercise recommended conducting a follow-up exercise specifically for representatives from NASA Office of Communications and FEMA Office of External Affairs to discuss these challenges in further detail.

This follow-up exercise, which used the same scenario as was used in the October exercise, was conducted on December 7, 2016, at NASA Headquarters. The objective was to educate the agencies' professional communications and engagement staff on the asteroid hazard, provide a basis for discussion, and to solicit feedback on proposed communication and engagement best practices and other recommendations.

Summary

The exercise's discussion themes included determining roles and responsibilities, agency processes, and joint messaging. Specific topics of discussion included:

- Ensuring effective communication channels with all NASA and FEMA stakeholders.
- Understanding current engagement strategies and outreach mechanisms.
- Ensuring the accuracy, timeliness, and understandability of joint messaging for such an event.
- Building awareness and confidence in NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office (PDCO) in advance of an impact, to ensure that the general public trusts information and life/safety guidance distributed.

Recommendations

Attendees recommended the following actions to strengthen the working relationship between NASA Office of Communications and FEMA Office of External Affairs, and to increase awareness of the asteroid hazard:

- Share pertinent strategy, policy, and procedure documents between the agencies.
- Draft a document of asteroid hazard facts that could be used to answer anticipated frequently asked questions or as responses to queries in the event of a short-notice or no-notice impact and prepare other informational products, such as videos.
- Consider conducting a tabletop exercise for other internal or external stakeholder groups.
- Build public/stakeholder recognition of the asteroid hazard and of the roles that NASA's PDCO and FEMA's Response Division would play in this high risk/low probability event using social media and other outreach channels.

- NASA-FEMA TTX #3 Public Affairs Follow-Up Exercise Attendees

- DC Agle, Media Relations, JPL
- Joshua Batkin, Director, Office of External Affairs, FEMA
- Linda Billings, Consultant, PDCO, NASA
- Dwayne Brown, Senior PAO, Science Mission Directorate (SMD), NASA

- Lauren Butler, Strategic Communications, FEMA
- Laurie Cantillo, Lead Communications Specialist, SMD, NASA
- Laura Cirillo, Congressional Affairs, FEMA
- Casey Deshong, Region IX External Affairs, FEMA
- Frank Ferreira, Intergovernmental Affairs, FEMA
- Victoria Friedensen, Program Executive, PDCO, NASA
- Gloria Huang, Digital Communications, FEMA
- Bob Jacobs, Acting Associate Administrator, Office of Communications, NASA
- Lindley Johnson, Planetary Defense Officer, NASA
- Nancy Jones, Office of Communications, Goddard
- Tara Kane, Staff Assistant, Operations Division, FEMA
- L.A. Lewis, Chief, National Response Coordination Branch, Operations Division, FEMA
- Eileen Lainez, Deputy Director, Public Affairs, FEMA
- Rafael Lemaitre, Director, Public Affairs, FEMA
- Daniel Llargues, Public Affairs, FEMA
- Alexa Lopez, Press Secretary, FEMA
- Veronica McGregor, Manager, News and Social Media, JPL
- Ryan Streeter, Intergovernmental Affairs, FEMA
- Stephanie Tennyson, Deputy Director, Office of External Affairs, FEMA
- Michelle Thaller, Deputy Director, Science Communication, NASA
- Ali Travis, Director, Strategic Communications, FEMA
- Hannah Vick, Acting Director, Disaster Operations, FEMA
- Melissa Wiehenstroer, Presidential Management Fellow, PDCO, NASA