

# Impact Risk Assessment Briefing: 2023 PDC Hypothetical Asteroid Impact Exercise Epoch 1 – Initial Threat Discovery

#### **Lorien Wheeler**

Jessie Dotson, Michael Aftosmis, Eric Stern, Donovan Mathias

NASA Ames Research Center Asteroid Threat Assessment Project (ATAP)

8<sup>th</sup> IAA Planetary Defense Conference April 2023

## **Asteroid Property & Damage Uncertainties**



# • Evaluating the potential damage & risk from an asteroid threat involves many large uncertainties

- Asteroid size and property uncertainties from limited observational data
- Potential impact location, velocity, and entry angle from orbital uncertainties
- Uncertainties in entry and damage modeling for large impact events
- Each factor contributes additional uncertainty, leading to very large ranges of potential impact energy and resulting damage estimates
- Some uncertainties will shrink as we gain data (impact locations, asteroid size), while some factors may remain unknown (damage modeling uncertainties)

Cascade of uncertainty ranges from asteroid observation to damage potential



## **Asteroid Size & Properties**



- Remote observation data available:
  - Only estimated brightness (H~19.4)
  - Asteroid type and properties are unknown

### Asteroid size range is hazardously large and highly uncertain

- Size and density uncertainties lead to huge ranges in mass, energy, and potential damage
- Smallest sizes are hazardous, and could produce large blast damage
- Upper kilometer-scale size range is less likely but catastrophic
- Rapid reconnaissance missions are **needed** to better determine asteroid size, damage, and mitigation requirements.



### **Asteroid Size Ranges & Probabilities**

	Diameter	Impact Energy	
Median	470 m (1540 ft)	230 Mt	
Average	600 m (1950 ft)	11,600 Mt	
Most likely	220–660 m (720–2160 ft)	54–5,500 Mt	
Range	150–2000 m (490–6560 ft)	54–160,000 Mt	

#### [Property inference model: J. Dotson PDC 2023] 2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

### **Asteroid Impact Hazards**





- Asteroids can cause damage by breaking up and bursting in the atmosphere or impacting the surface
- Primary impact hazards are:
  - Local ground damage: Airbursts and surface impacts can produce explosive blast waves and thermal fireballs
  - **Tsunami:** Ocean impacts could cause significant tsunami inundation if impact is very large or near to a populated coast
  - Global effects: Large-scale impacts could produce enough atmospheric ejecta to cause global climatic effects
- The large asteroid size ranges in this scenario could potentially cause any of these hazards

# Ames Research Center

# Local Ground Damage

HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE





Damage risk swath: Extent of regions *potentially* at risk to ground damage, given ranges of potential impact locations and damage sizes (out to 95<sup>th</sup>%). Rings show median-sized (50<sup>th</sup>%) damage footprints at sample locations.

- Primary hazard for this asteroid size range is a large ground impact or low airburst causing a highly destructive blast wave and fireball
- Damage Sizes & Severities:
  - Damage severities reach unsurvivable levels, extending to large areas of structural damage, fires, and shattered windows
  - Most likely serious damage range is ~100–200 km (60–120 mi) in radius (median 160 km, 100 mi)
  - Largest outer damage areas could extend out over 600 km (370 miles) or more in radius

	Damage Level Description				
Serious Windows shatter, some structure damage					
Severe Widespread structure damage, or 3 <sup>rd</sup> degree burns					
Critical	Residential structures collapse, or clothing ignites				
Unsurvivable	Devastation, structures flattened or burned				

#### 2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

### **Affected Population Ranges Along Impact Swath**



#### Impacts over land cause most population damage

Research Center

- Average affected populations are ~10K–10M ppl across Africa and N. America
- Largest impacts ~10M-100M ppl
- Highest damage is around Nigeria (~10M people average)
- Large ocean impacts could cause significant tsunami across Atlantic or near coasts
  - Average affected populations ~10K–600K ppl
  - Largest impacts ~100K–4M
  - Atlantic impacts have greatest tsunami risk
- Global effects could affect millions to billions of people from any location



# Affected Population Risks



### Likelihood of How Many People Damage Could Affect

Damage Probabilities among Earth-Impacting Cases (1% Impact Probability)



Impact Damage Probabilities:

Relative chance of damage affecting the number of people within each range, *if Earth impact occurs.* 

Population Threshold	Probability (if Earth impact occurs)
>10K	70%
>100K	53%
>1M	19%
>10M	7%
>100M	5%
>1B	~0.6%

#### Impact Damage Exceedance Risks:

Chance of damage affecting *at least* the given number of people *or more, if Earth impact occurs.* 

- High chance of significant population damage if Earth impact occurs
  - Damage very likely to affect hundreds of thousands of people or more
  - Smaller but significant chance of catastrophic damage affecting ~100M to ~2B people
- Average population risk is ~24M among Earth-impacting cases (driven by potential for global effects)
- High total average risk of ~240K people even when including the 1% chance of earth impact

Research Center

# Impact Risk Summary

### Assessment 1: Initial Discovery, 3 April 2023



#### Asteroid Characterization Summary

- Earth impact probability: ~1% chance of impact on 22 Oct. 2036
- Initial observations of object brightness (H magnitude ~19.4) indicate a very large, hazardous object, with large uncertainties in potential size and properties
- Diameter: 150–2000 m (490–6560 ft), most likely 220–660 m (720–2160 ft), median size 470 m (1540 ft)
- Impact Energy: 54–160,000 megatons (Mt), most likely 54–5,500 Mt, median 230 Mt

#### **Hazard Summary**

Research Cente

- Large ranges of potential damage sizes, severities, and locations
- Asteroid is likely to miss Earth, but there is ~90% chance of potentially large population damage if impact occurs
- Impact would cause large blast & thermal damage reaching unsurvivable levels, with serious damage likely extending ~100–200 km (~60–120 mi) outward, and possibly out 600 km (370 mi) or more
- Large ocean impacts are likely to cause significant tsunami damage, especially across Atlantic regions or near coasts
- Largest possible sizes could cause catastrophic global-scale effects (6% chance)

#### Risk Region Swath Map

Regions potentially at risk, given range of damage locations and sizes. Median-sized damage areas are shown at sample locations.





#### Affected Population Risks



Probabilities of how many people could be affected by the potential damage

Range: 0–2B ppl

- ~24M avg. if Earth impact occurs
- ~240K total avg. risk (with ~1% Earthimpact probability)

#### 2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP





# BACKUP

### **Risk Modeling Details and Reference Info**

# What is Asteroid Impact Risk Assessment?





How likely are the potential consequences

- Risk assessment evaluates both the severity and likelihood of potential outcomes, given the uncertainties about the contributing factors
- Evaluating asteroid impact risks involves large uncertainties across all aspects of the problem:
  - Impact probability, potential impact locations, entry trajectories (speed, entry angle)
  - Initial asteroid sizes and properties (density, strength, structure, composition, shape, etc.)
  - Atmospheric entry, breakup, airburst or impact behavior
  - Severity and range of resulting hazards
  - Population and infrastructure within damage regions
- Some uncertainties shrink as we gain knowledge over time (impact locations, asteroid size), while some remain unknown (specific asteroid properties, entry/breakup behavior, damage uncertainties)

### **Asteroid Impact Risk Assessment**





<sup>[</sup>PAIR model details: Mathias et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2017] 2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

Research Center

#### **Impact Threat Scenario**



#### Probabilistic Damage and Risk





- Risk assessment models damage for millions of impact cases representing the range of possible asteroid properties and impact locations.
- Impact hazards assessed: local blast & thermal ground damage, tsunami, global effects
- Results give probabilities of damage sizes, severities, and affected populations.
- Regions potentially at risk to local damage are mapped.

## **Affected Population Risks**



- For each impact case modeled, PAIR computes the estimated number of people affected by each hazard type, based on the modeled damage location, area, severity, and local population
  - Local blast & thermal ground damage: affects 10–100% of local population depending on severity (additional details in following slides)
  - **Tsunami:** affects up to 10% of the local population depending on flood depth in each coastal area (based on tsunami wave height and ground elevation)
  - Global effects: affects estimated fractions of total world population, based on total impact energy and a randomly sampled severity factor
  - **Total affected population** estimates for each impact case are taken as the number of people affected by the largest hazard produced (not sums of multiple hazards)
- Affected population risks: population results for each impact case are aggregated to compute total population *risks*, reflecting the likelihoods of the possible effects for the overall impact scenario (i.e., probabilities of the impact affecting given ranges or thresholds of people)
- **Population data source:** SEDAC Gridded Population of the World (GPW) v4.11 gridded population counts, year 2020 UN-adjusted values

#### Local Blast & Thermal Affected Population



Severity% Pop. AffectedSerious10%Severe30%Critical60%Unsurvivable100%

#### **Tsunami Affected Population**



#### **Global Effects Affected Populations**



**Population Data** 

nergy (MT)	Min	Nominal	Max
4.E+04	0	0	0
8.E+04	0	0	10
2.E+05	0	0	20
3.E+05	0	10	30
6.E+05	0	20	40
1.E+06	10	30	50
2.E+06	20	40	60
5.E+06	30	50	70
1.E+07	40	60	80
2.E+07	50	70	90
4.E+07	60	80	100
8.E+07	70	90	100

**Population Risks** 



[PAIR model details: Mathias et al., 2017; Stokes et al., 2017] 2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

## Local Blast & Thermal Ground Damage



- Large impacts or airburst can generate destructive blast waves and thermal heat that can cause various levels of injury, fatalities, structural damage, and/or fires extending far around the impact location.
- Risk model assesses blast and thermal ground damage *independently* at four equivalent severity levels
  - The damage region for each severity level is determined from the *larger* of the equivalent blast or thermal damage area
  - Local ground damage regions indicate *either* blast or thermal effects could exceed the given severity threshold (*not* necessarily the occurrence of both effects within the entire region)
  - Local affected population estimates within each region are scaled by the relative severity of each damage level
- Blast is the predominant hazard for most sub-global-scale asteroid sizes
  - Blast tends to be larger and more severe than the potential thermal damage in most cases, and usually define the larger outer serious and severe risk regions for emergency response planning
  - Critical and unsurvivable thermal damage areas can be larger than equivalent blast levels for the larger impact sizes



Damage Level	age Level Relative Severity Blast Damage Effects		Thermal Damage Effects	
Serious 10%		Shattered windows, some structural damage	2 <sup>nd</sup> degree burns	
Severe 30%		Widespread structural damage	3 <sup>rd</sup> degree burns	
Critical 60%		Most residential structures collapse	Clothing ignites	
Unsurvivable	100%	Complete devastation	Structures ignites, incineration	

# **Risk Region Swath Maps**

HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE





Example from 2021 Planetary Defense Conference Exercise

Risk swaths show range of regions *potentially* at risk to local ground damage, including range of possible damage sizes\* and locations

- Black outline shows range of potential impact points (damage-center locations)
- Shaded areas show potential at-risk regions given range of damage sizes and locations
- Rings show an average-sized damage footprint at sample locations

Damage Level	Description
Serious	Window breakage, some minor structure damage
Severe	Widespread structure damage, doors/windows blown out
Critical	Most residential structures collapse
Unsurvivable	Complete devastation

\* Swath extents shown for the 2023 PDC results cover local ground damage sizes out to the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. Local damage maps do not include regions potentially at at risk to tsunami or global effects.





# BACKUP

### Additional Epoch 1 Risk Assessment Summaries & Details

2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

### **Impact Damage & Risk Summary**



 Risk assessment indicates significant damage is likely across all potential asteroid sizes and impact locations

#### Avg. Affected Population along Potential Impact Locations



- **Population Risk:** Damage is most likely to affect hundreds-of-thousands of people, with a potential range from 0 to ~1B and an average of 24M among Earth-impacting cases
- Local Ground Damage: Impacts from all potential sizes would cause large blast and thermal damage reaching unsurvivable levels, with serious damage likely extending hundreds of kilometers outward
- **Tsunami:** Larger ocean impacts could also cause significant tsunami, with potentially large damage likely across Atlantic regions or near coasts
- Global Effects: Global effects from largest impacts could affect substantial fractions of the world population (hundreds of millions up to 2 billion), and drive high overall risk levels despite their lower (6%) probability

High risk and large uncertainties demonstrate a need for reconnaissance missions to better determine the size of the asteroid, its potential damage, and appropriate mitigation responses.

Research Cente

### **Tsunami Damage & Risk**



- 48% of potential impact regions are over ocean
- Tsunami damage occurs in ~74% of ocean cases (36% chance among all impact cases)
- High chance of large tsunami from impacts across all Atlantic regions or near coasts of Mexico
  - Impacts near US East coast or West African coast pose greatest tsunami risks
  - Significant tsunami are less likely for S. Pacific or Indian Ocean regions further than ~1000 km offshore
- Tsunami population risks (among ocean impacts):
  - Average affected population ranges are 10K–620K across most ocean points (200K avg. over all)
  - 50% chance of large tsunami affecting >10K people (40–90% chance across all Atlantic points)
  - Largest tsunami could affect up to millions of people
- Large uncertainties remain in potential severity and range of asteroid-generated tsunamis





[PAIR tsunami model details: Stokes et al., 2017]

Research Center

# Global Effects (GE)



#### The largest impact sizes could cause global climatic effects endangering substantial fractions of world population

- 6% chance of global effects from largest asteroid sizes (impact energies over 40 gigatons, diameters over ~1 km or 3,300 feet)
- Affected population estimates for these sizes are likely in the tens-of-millions to hundreds-of-millions, with an average of ~370 million and worst-case estimates affecting around 1–2 billion people
- Global effects drive greatest average population risk levels despite lower probability
  - Average population risk from global effects is ~24M people (among all potential impactor sizes, including likelihood of sub-global sizes with no GE)
  - Although largest sizes are less likely, the potential consequences are extreme and therefore pose a high level of risk
- Large uncertainties remain in what asteroid sizes may start to cause onset of climate effects, the severity or range of possible effects, and potential for other intermediate cascading regional environmental effects.



6% chance of GE occurring Avg Population Risk: 24M

Affected Population Ranges (among 6% GE-causing cases)					
Range	~200K–2B				
Most likely	85M-900M				
Average	370M				
Median	240M				

[PAIR global effects model details: Stokes et al., 2017]

### HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE Hazard Summary



### Hazard Likelihoods among ~1% of Earth-impacting Cases

- 52% chance of impact over land, 48% water
- All impacts over land cause large local blast and thermal ground damage affecting populated areas (~60% of all impact cases)
- Tsunami damage occurs in ~74% of ocean cases (36% of all cases)
- Largest impactors could cause catastrophic global-scale effects in ~6% of cases
- Potential for regional environmental effects from larger sub-global impacts is unknown
- No damage occurs in ~11% of Earth-impact cases (smallest sizes over ocean)

**59%** 60% 50% Probability 30% 36% 20% 11% 10% 6% 0% global local tsunami none

\* A single impact event can cause multiples hazards (e.g., blast + thermal, tsunami + local near-shore, or global + local or tsunami). Sum of all hazard occurrence probabilities may exceed 100%.

#### **Chance of Hazard Causing Damage**

### HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE Sample Ground Damage Sizes over Nigeria (highest population damage location along swath)







- Rings show sample damage footprint sizes at a single sample location
- Black border shows range of potential impact locations (damage center points) along swath
- Percentiles give the chance that the damage region could be up to the given size or smaller

#### Local Ground Damage Radius Sizes (km / mi)

Damage Level	Mean	25 <sup>th</sup> %	50 <sup>th</sup> %	75th %	95 <sup>th</sup> %	Damage Level Description
Serious	190 km (120 mi)	120 km (75 mi)	<b>160</b> km (100 mi)	220 km (140 mi)	<b>400</b> km (250 mi)	Windows shatter, minor structure damage
Severe	110 km (70 mi)	70 km (45 mi)	<b>90</b> km (55 mi)	120 km (75 mi)	<b>230</b> km (150 mi)	Widespread structure damage, or 3rd degree burns
Critical	65 km (40 mi)	40 km (25 mi)	<b>50</b> km (30 mi)	75 km (45 mi)	<b>140</b> km (90 mi)	Residential structures collapse, or clothing ignites
Unsurvivable	40 km (25 mi)	20 km (15 mi)	<b>30</b> km (20 mi)	50 km (30 mi)	<b>100</b> km (60 mi)	Devastation, structures flattened or burned

2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

nes Research Center

### HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE Sample Ground Damage Sizes over Dallas TX (highest US population damage location)







- Rings show sample damage footprint sizes at a single sample location
- Black border shows range of potential impact locations (damage center points) along swath
- Percentiles give the chance that the damage region could be up to the given size or smaller

### Local Ground Damage Radius Sizes (km / mi)

Damage Level	Mean	25 <sup>th</sup> %	50 <sup>th</sup> %	75th %	95 <sup>th</sup> %	Dama
Serious	190 km (120 mi)	120 km (75 mi)	<b>160</b> km (100 mi)	220 km (140 mi)	<b>400</b> km (250 mi)	Windo
Severe	110 km (70 mi)	70 km (45 mi)	<b>90</b> km (55 mi)	120 km (75 mi)	<b>230</b> km (150 mi)	Wides
Critical	65 km (40 mi)	40 km (25 mi)	<b>50</b> km (30 mi)	75 km (45 mi)	<b>140</b> km (90 mi)	Resid
Unsurvivable	40 km (25 mi)	20 km (15 mi)	<b>30</b> km (20 mi)	50 km (30 mi)	<b>100</b> km (60 mi)	Devas

Damage Level Description
Windows shatter, minor structure damage
Widespread structure damage, or 3rd degree burns
Residential structures collapse, or clothing ignites
Devastation, structures flattened or burned

2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

nes Research Center

### **Population Damage Ranges Along Swath**



 Impacts over land cause most population damage

Research Center

 Average affected population ranges are ~10K–10M across Africa and ~100K–3M across N. America Avg. Affected Population

- Maximums reach ~10M-100M
- Highest damage & risk region is around Nigeria with an average affected pop of ~10M
- Significant tsunami are possible across all ocean regions if impact is very large
  - Average affected population ranges are ~10K–600K
  - Maximums reach ~100K–4M
  - Greatest tsunami risks are Atlantic impacts (especially near US East coast)



## Average affected population:

Average for each potential entry point, given range of potential asteroid sizes and properties

#### Affected population ranges: Averages and min/max ranges within 2° longitude increments along swath

### Relative impact probability:

among potential swath regions, given an Earth-impact



### **Asteroid Property Details**



Statistical percentiles and highest-probability interval ranges for asteroid property distribution samples modeled\*

	Mean	5th%	25th%	Median (50th%)	75th%	95th%	Most Likely Range (68%)	Potential Range (99%)
Diameter (m)	600	250	347	469	738	1389	216 – 660	151 – 2000
Mass (kg)	6.0E+11	1.6E+10	4.7E+10	1.2E+11	4.2E+11	2.8E+12	2.8E+09 – 2.9E+11	2.8E+09 - 8.4E+12
Energy (Mt)	1.2E+04	3.1E+02	9.0E+02	2.3E+03	8.1E+03	5.5E+04	5.4E+01 – 5.5E+03	5.4E+01 - 1.6E+05
H Magnitude	19.40	18.75	19.13	19.40	19.67	20.07	19.02 – 19.82	18.34 – 20.4
Albedo	0.17	0.02	0.05	0.15	0.24	0.40	0.01 – 0.21	0.01 – 0.67
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.2	1.3	1.7	2.1	2.5	3.3	1.4 – 2.6	0.8 - 5.3
Porosity (%)	32%	8%	22%	33%	43%	55%	18% – 49%	1.8% - 60%
Strength (MPa)	2.2	0.1	0.3	1.0	3.2	8.0	0.1 – 2.4	0.1 – 9.6

HYPOTHETICAL EXERCISE



0.6

0.5











Distribution

mean

[Property model: J. Dotson PDC 2021]

2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP

0.3

0.4

Albedo

5%

0%

0.1

0.2

Page 23



## **Entry Parameters & Locations**



- Around 1% chance of Earth impact somewhere along a globe-spanning corridor from the South Pacific, across North America, Atlantic, Africa, and into the southern Indian Ocean.
- Entry parameters vary across the corridor, but are well-known for given impact points
- Entry Velocity:
  - 12.67–12.68 km/s
  - Little variation across swath

### • Entry Angle:

- Nearly-vertical entries (83°) in mid-Atlantic
- Shallow skimming entries near edges
- Entry Direction (CW from N):
  - Entry direction rotates along swath
  - Southward over mid-Atlantic (90°)
  - SEbS at eastern edge (122°)
  - SW at western edge (225°)

Entry Angle (from horizontal)



[Impact entry data: P. Chodas, CNEOS/JPL, https://cneos.jpl.nasa.gov/pd/cs/pdc23/





# REFERENCES

2023 PDC Impact Risk, NASA ATAP





#### Probabilistic Asteroid Impact Risk (PAIR) Model

nes Research Cente

- Mathias et al., 2017. A probabilistic asteroid impact risk model: assessment of sub-300m impacts. Icarus 289, 106–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.02.009</u>
- Stokes et al., 2017. Update to determine the feasibility of enhancing the search and characterization of NEOs. National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
  <a href="https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/2017\_neo\_sdt\_final\_e-version.pdf">https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/2017\_neo\_sdt\_final\_e-version.pdf</a>
- Wheeler & Mathias, 2018. Probabilistic assessment of Tunguska-scale asteroid impacts. Icarus, 327, 83–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.12.017</u>
- Rumpf et al., 2020. Deflection driven evolution of asteroid impact risk under large uncertainties. Acta Astonautica 176, 276–286. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2020.05.026</u>
- **Reddy et al., 2022.** Apophis planetary defense campaign. Planetary Science Journal, 3:123 (16pp). <u>https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/ac66eb</u>
- Reddy et al., 2022. Near-Earth Asteroid (66391) Moshup (1999 KW4) Observing Campaign: Results from a Global Planetary Defense Characterization Exercise. Icarus 374, 114790. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2021.114790</u>
- Reddy et al., 2019. Near-Earth Asteroid 2012 TC4 Campaign: results from a global planetary defense exercise. Icarus 326, 133–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2019.02.018
- **Population data:** SEDAC GPW v4.11 gridded population counts, year 2020 (UN-adjusted values). CIESIN, Columbia University, 2016. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.7927/H4SF2T42</u>

#### **Entry & Breakup Energy Deposition Modeling**

- Wheeler et al., 2018. Atmospheric energy deposition modeling and inference for varied meteoroid structures. Icarus 315, 79–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.06.014</u>
- Wheeler et al., 2017. A fragment-cloud model for asteroid breakup and atmospheric energy deposition. Icarus 295, 149–169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.02.011</u>
- **Register et al., 2020.** Interactions between asteroid fragments during atmospheric entry. Icarus 337, 113468. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2019.113468</u>

#### **Blast Modeling and Simulation**

- Aftosmis, et al., 2019. Simulation-based height of burst map for asteroid airburst damage prediction. Acta Astronautica 156, 278-283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2017.12.021</u>
- Robertson & Mathias, 2019. Hydrocode simulations of asteroid airbursts and constraints for Tunguska. Icarus 327, 36–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.10.017</u>
- Aftosmis, et al., 2016. Numerical simulation of bolide entry with ground footprint prediction. 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting. <u>https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2016-0998</u>

#### Thermal Radiation Modeling and Simulation

- Johnston et al., 2021. Simulating the Benešov bolide flowfield and spectrum at altitudes of 47 and 57 km. Icarus 354, 114037. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2020.114037</u>
- Johnston & Stern, 2018. A model for thermal radiation from the Tunguska airburst. Icarus, 327, 48–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2019.01.028</u>
- Johnston et al., 2018. Radiative heating of large meteoroids during atmospheric entry. Icarus 309, 25–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.02.026</u>

#### **Tsunami Simulations**

- Robertson & Gisler, 2019. Near and far-field hazards of asteroid impacts in oceans. Acta Astronautica 156, 262–277. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.09.018</u>
- Berger & Goodman, 2018. Airburst-generated tsunamis. Pure Appl. Geophys. 175 (4), 1525-1543. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1745-1</u>
- Berger & LeVeque, 2018. Modeling issues in asteroid-generated tsunamis. NASA Contractor Report NASA/CR-2018-219786. <u>https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20180006617.pdf</u>
- Berger & LeVeque, 2022. Towards Adaptive Simulations of Dispersive Tsunami Propagation from an Asteroid Impact. Proc. ICM, St. Petersburg, Russia, 2022 (submitted). <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.01420</u>